

TORIC VAISMAN MANIFOLDS

MIHAELA PILCA

ABSTRACT. Vaisman manifolds are strongly related to Kähler and Sasaki geometry. In this paper we introduce toric Vaisman structures and show that this relationship still holds in the toric context. It is known that the so-called minimal covering of a Vaisman manifold is the Riemannian cone over a Sasaki manifold. We show that if a complete Vaisman manifold is toric, then the associated Sasaki manifold is also toric. Conversely, a toric complete Sasaki manifold, whose Kähler cone is equipped with an appropriate compatible action, gives rise to a toric Vaisman manifold. In the special case of a strongly regular compact Vaisman manifold, we show that it is toric if and only if the corresponding Kähler quotient is toric.

1. INTRODUCTION

Toric geometry has been studied intensively, as manifolds with many symmetries often occur in physics and also represent a large source of examples as testing ground for conjectures. The classical case of compact symplectic toric manifolds has been completely classified by T. Delzant [10], who showed that they are in one-to-one correspondence to the so-called Delzant polytopes, obtained as the image of the momentum map. Afterwards, similar classification results have been given in many different geometrical settings, some of which we briefly mention here. For instance, classification results were obtained by Y. Karshon and E. Lerman [19] for non-compact symplectic toric manifolds and by E. Lerman and S. Tolman [21] for symplectic orbifolds. The case when one additionally considers compatible metrics invariant under the toric action is also well understood: compact toric Kähler manifolds have been investigated by V. Guillemin [17], D. Calderbank, L. David and P. Gauduchon [8], M. Abreu [1], and compact toric Kähler orbifolds in [2]. Other more special structures have been completely classified, such as orthotoric Kähler, by V. Apostolov, D. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon [4] or toric hyperkähler, by R. Bielawski and A. Dancer [6]. The odd-dimensional counterpart, namely the compact contact toric manifolds, are classified by E. Lerman [20], whereas toric Sasaki manifolds were also studied by M. Abreu [3], [7]. These were used to produce examples of compact Sasaki-Einstein manifolds, for instance by D. Martelli, J. Sparks and S.-T. Yau [22], A. Futaki, H. Ono and G. Wang [12], C. van Coevering [28].

In the present paper, we consider toric geometry in the context of locally conformally Kähler manifolds. These are defined as complex manifolds admitting a compatible metric, which, on given charts, is conformal to a local

Key words and phrases. Vaisman manifold, toric manifold, Sasaki structure, twisted Hamiltonian action, locally conformally Kähler manifold.

Kähler metric. The differentials of the logarithms of the conformal factors glue up to a well-defined closed 1-form, called the Lee form. We are mostly interested in the special class of so-called Vaisman manifolds, defined by the additional property of having parallel Lee form. By analogy to the other geometries, we introduce the notion of toric locally conformally Kähler manifold. More precisely, we require the existence of an effective torus action of dimension half the dimension of the manifold, which preserves the holomorphic structure and is twisted Hamiltonian. I. Vaisman [27] introduced twisted Hamiltonian actions and they have been used for instance by S. Haller and T. Rybicki [18] and by R. Gini, L. Ornea and M. Parton [15], where reduction results for locally symplectic, respectively locally conformal Kähler manifolds are given, or more recently by A. Otman [24].

Vaisman geometry is closely related to both Sasaki and Kähler geometry. In fact, a locally conformally Kähler manifold may be equivalently defined as a manifold whose universal covering is Kähler and on which the fundamental group acts by holomorphic homotheties. For Vaisman manifolds, the universal and the minimal covering are Kähler cones over Sasaki manifolds, as proven in [25], [16]. On the other hand, in the special case of strongly regular compact Vaisman manifolds, the quotient by the 2-dimensional distribution spanned by the Lee and anti-Lee vector fields is a Kähler manifold, *cf.* [26].

The purpose of this paper is to make a first step towards a possible classification of toric Vaisman, or more generally, toric locally conformally Kähler manifolds, by showing that the above mentioned connections between Vaisman and Sasaki, respectively Kähler manifolds are still true when imposing the toric condition. For the precise statements of these equivalences, we refer to Theorem 4.9, Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 5.1.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank P. Gauduchon and A. Moroianu for useful discussions and L. Ornea for introducing me to locally conformally Kähler geometry.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *locally conformally Kähler manifold* (shortly lcK) is a conformal Hermitian manifold $(M^{2n}, [g], J)$ of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, such that for one (and hence for all) metric g in the conformal class, the corresponding fundamental 2-form $\omega := g(\cdot, J\cdot)$ satisfies: $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$, with θ a closed 1-form, called the *Lee form* of the Hermitian structure (g, J) . Equivalently, there exists an atlas on M , such that the restriction of g to any chart is conformal to a Kähler metric. In fact, the differential of the logarithmic of the conformal factors are, up to a constant, equal to the Lee form. It turns out to be convenient to denote also by (M, g, J, θ) an lcK manifold, when fixing one metric g in the conformal class. By ∇ we denote the Levi-Civita connection of g .

We denote by $\theta^\sharp$ the vector field dual to θ with respect to the metric g , the so-called *Lee vector field* of the lcK structure, and by $J\theta^\sharp$ the *anti-Lee vector field*.

Remark 2.1. On an lcK manifold (M, g, J, θ) , the following formula for the covariant derivative of J holds:

$$2\nabla_X J = X \wedge J\theta^\sharp + JX \wedge \theta^\sharp, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

or, more explicitly, applied to any vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$:

$$2(\nabla_X J)(Y) = \theta(JY)X - \theta(Y)JX + g(JX, Y)\theta^\sharp + g(X, Y)J\theta^\sharp.$$

In particular, it follows that $\nabla_{\theta^\sharp} J = 0$ and $\nabla_{J\theta^\sharp} J = 0$.

Remark 2.2. On an lcK manifold (M^{2n}, g, J, θ) , a vector field X preserving the fundamental 2-form ω , also preserves the Lee form, *i.e.* $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$ implies $\mathcal{L}_X \theta = 0$, as follows. As the differential and the Lie derivative with respect to a vector field commute to each other, *e.g.* by the Cartan formula, we obtain:

$$0 = d(\mathcal{L}_X \omega) = \mathcal{L}_X(d\omega) = \mathcal{L}_X(\theta \wedge \omega) = \mathcal{L}_X \theta \wedge \omega + \theta \wedge \mathcal{L}_X \omega = \mathcal{L}_X \theta \wedge \omega.$$

Since the map from $\Omega^1(M)$ to $\Omega^3(M)$ given by wedging with ω is injective, for complex dimension $n \geq 2$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X \theta = 0$.

We now recall the definition of Vaisman manifolds, which were first introduced and studied by I. Vaisman [25], [26]:

Definition 2.3. A *Vaisman manifold* is an lcK manifold (M, g, J, θ) admitting a metric in the conformal class, such that its Lee form is parallel, *i.e.* $\nabla \theta = 0$.

Note that on a compact lcK manifold, a metric with parallel Lee form θ , if it exists, is unique up to homothety in its conformal class and coincides with the so-called *Gauduchon metric*, *i.e.* the metric with co-closed Lee form: $\delta \theta = 0$. In this paper, we scale any Vaisman metric g such that the norm of its Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$, which is constant since θ is parallel, equals 1.

Definition 2.4. The automorphism group of a Vaisman manifold (M, g, J, θ) is denoted by a slight abuse of notation $\text{Aut}(M) := \text{Aut}(M, g, J, \theta)$ and is defined as the group of conformal biholomorphisms:

$$\text{Aut}(M) = \{F \in \text{Diff}(M) \mid F^* J = J, [F^* g] = [g]\}.$$

We emphasize here that we define the group of automorphisms like for lcK manifolds, namely we do not ask for the automorphisms of a Vaisman manifold to be isometries of the Vaisman metric, but only to preserve its conformal class. Hence, the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(M)$ is:

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{aut}(M) = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_X J = 0, \mathcal{L}_X g = fg, \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{isom}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{hol}(M)$ the Lie algebras of Killing vector fields with respect to the Vaisman metric g , respectively of holomorphic vector fields.

The following lemma collects some known properties of Vaisman manifolds that are used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.5. *On a Vaisman manifold (M, J, g, θ) , the Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ and the anti-Lee vector field $J\theta^\sharp$ are both holomorphic Killing vector fields:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp} g = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp} J = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp} g = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp} J = 0,$$

so that $\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp \in \mathbf{isom}(M) \cap \mathbf{hol}(M)$. In particular, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp}\omega = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp}\omega = 0$ and $\theta^\sharp$ commutes with $J\theta^\sharp$:

$$[\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp] = J[\theta^\sharp, \theta^\sharp] = 0.$$

The Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ and the anti-Lee vector field $J\theta^\sharp$ span a 1-dimensional complex Lie subalgebra of $\mathbf{isom}(M) \cap \mathbf{hol}(M)$, which moreover lies in the center of $\mathbf{isom}(M) \cap \mathbf{hol}(M)$. Thus, these vector fields give rise to the so-called canonical foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by 1-dimensional complex tori on a Vaisman manifold, which is a totally geodesic Riemannian foliation.

Proof. The following relation holds for any integrable complex structure J : $\mathcal{L}_{JX}J = J \circ \mathcal{L}_XJ$, for all vector fields X . This shows that a vector field X is holomorphic if and only if JX is holomorphic. In particular, we obtain that $J\theta^\sharp$ is a holomorphic vector field, because $\theta^\sharp$ is holomorphic. We now show that $J\theta^\sharp$ is a Killing vector field. By the formula in Remark 2.1, we obtain for $Y = \theta^\sharp$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad 2(\nabla_X J)(\theta^\sharp) &= -\theta(\theta^\sharp)JX + \theta(J\theta^\sharp)X + g(JX, \theta^\sharp)\theta^\sharp + g(X, \theta^\sharp)J\theta^\sharp \\ &= -JX - g(X, J\theta^\sharp)\theta^\sharp + g(X, \theta^\sharp)J\theta^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that $\nabla J\theta^\sharp = (\nabla J)(\theta^\sharp)$ (since $\theta^\sharp$ is parallel) is skew-symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad 2g((\nabla_X J)(\theta^\sharp), Y) &= -g(JX, Y) - g(X, J\theta^\sharp)g(Y, \theta^\sharp) + g(X, \theta^\sharp)g(Y, J\theta^\sharp) \\ &= g(JY, X) + g(Y, J\theta^\sharp)g(X, \theta^\sharp) - g(Y, \theta^\sharp)g(X, J\theta^\sharp) \\ &= -2g((\nabla_Y J)(\theta^\sharp), X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $J\theta^\sharp$ is a Killing vector field of the Vaisman metric g . In particular, it follows:

$$\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\} \subset \mathbf{isom}(M) \cap \mathbf{hol}(M).$$

Let X be a holomorphic Killing vector field. Thus, X also preserves the fundamental form ω and by Remark 2.2 it holds: $\mathcal{L}_X\theta = 0$. Furthermore, the following general equality $(\mathcal{L}_Xg)(\cdot, \theta^\sharp) = \mathcal{L}_X\theta - g(\cdot, \mathcal{L}_X\theta^\sharp)$ shows that

$$[X, \theta^\sharp] = \mathcal{L}_X\theta^\sharp = 0.$$

Since X is holomorphic, it also follows that

$$[X, J\theta^\sharp] = J[X, \theta^\sharp] = 0.$$

Thus, $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$ is a subset of the center of $\mathbf{isom}(M) \cap \mathbf{hol}(M)$. $\square$

Recall that the *cone* over a Riemannian manifold (W, g_W) is defined as $\mathcal{C}(W) := \mathbb{R} \times W$ with the metric $g_{\text{cone}} := 4e^{-2t}(dt^2 + p^*g_W)$, where t is the parameter on $\mathbb{R}$ and $p: \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow W$ is the projection on W . We denote the radial flow on the cone by ϕ , i.e. $\phi_s: \mathcal{C}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(W)$, $\phi_s(t, w) := (t + s, w)$, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that this definition is equivalent, up to a constant factor¹, to the more common definition in the literature, namely $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times W, dr^2 + r^2p^*g_W)$ via the change of variable $r := e^{-t}$.

We have the following result:

¹We choose to multiply the metric by the constant factor 4, so that later on a Vaisman manifold obtained as a quotient of a cone, the Vaisman metric has the property that its Lee vector field is of length 1 (see Section 5).

Proposition 2.6. *For any complete Riemannian manifold (W, g_W) , each homothety of the Riemannian cone $(\mathcal{C}(W) = \mathbb{R} \times W, 4e^{-2t}(dt^2 + p^*g_W))$ is of the form $(t, w) \mapsto (t + \rho, \psi(w))$, where $e^{-2\rho}$ is the dilatation factor and ψ is an isometry of (W, g_W) . In particular, all the isometries of the cone $\mathcal{C}(W)$ come from isometries of W .*

Proposition 2.6 is proved in [16] for the compact case, *i.e.* when (W, g_W) is a compact Riemannian manifold. In [5], F. Belgun and A. Moroianu extended this result to the larger class of so-called cone-like manifolds. For the complete case, Proposition 2.6 can be proven as follows, after making, for convenience, the coordinate change $r = 2e^{-t}$. It is known that the metric completion of the cone $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times W, dr^2 + r^2p^*g_W)$ is a metric space obtained by adding a single point. It can further be showed that the incomplete geodesics of the cone are exactly its rays, $r \mapsto (r, w)$, for any fixed $w \in W$. Since the image of an incomplete geodesic through any isometry is again an incomplete geodesic, it follows that each isometry φ of the cone preserves the radial vector field, *i.e.* $\varphi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. For any vector field X tangent to W , $\varphi_*(X)$ is also tangent to W , since it is orthogonal to $\varphi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)$ and $\varphi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. Altogether, this implies that φ has the following form: $(r, w) \mapsto (r, \psi(w))$, with ψ an isometry of W . The statement for the homotheties then also follows, by composing for instance any isometry with the following homothety of the cone: $(r, w) \mapsto (e^{-\rho}r, w)$, for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.7. A *Sasaki structure* on a Riemannian manifold (W, g_W) is a complex structure J on its cone $\mathcal{C}(W)$, such that (g_{cone}, J) is Kähler and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the homotheties $\rho_\lambda: \mathcal{C}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(W)$, $\rho_\lambda(t, w) := (t + \lambda, w)$ are holomorphic.

There are many equivalent definitions of a Sasaki structure, see *e.g.* the monography [7]. In particular, a Sasaki manifold W^{2n+1} is endowed with a metric g_W and a contact 1-form η (*i.e.* $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$), whose Reeb vector field ξ , which is defined by the equations $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $\iota_\xi d\eta = 0$, is Killing. The restriction of the endomorphism $\Phi := \nabla^{g_W} \xi$ to the contact distribution $\ker(\eta)$ defines an integrable *CR*-structure. When needed, we may write a Sasaki structure by denoting all these data, as $(W, g_W, \xi, \eta, \Phi)$. We recall also that an automorphism of a Sasaki manifold is an isometry which preserves the Reeb vector field (see *e.g.* [7, Lemma 8.1.15]).

Definition 2.8. A *toric Sasaki manifold* is a Sasaki manifold (W^{2m+1}, g_W, ξ) endowed with an effective action of the torus T^{m+1} , which preserves the Sasaki structure and such that ξ is an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{m+1}$ of the torus. Equivalently, a toric Sasaki manifold is a Sasaki manifold whose Kähler cone is a toric Kähler manifold.

Thus, toric Sasaki manifolds are in particular contact toric manifolds of Reeb type. E. Lerman classified compact connected contact toric manifolds, see [20, Theorem 2.18].

One way to construct Vaisman manifolds, *cf.* R. Gini, L. Ornea, M. Parton, [15, Proposition 7.3], is the following:

Proposition 2.9. *Let W be a Sasaki manifold and Γ be a group of biholomorphic homotheties of the Kähler cone $\mathcal{C}(W)$, acting freely and properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{C}(W)$, such that Γ commutes with the radial flow generated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $M := \mathcal{C}(W)/\Gamma$ has a naturally induced Vaisman structure.*

Conversely, it is implicitly proved in the work [25] of I. Vaisman that the universal covering of a Vaisman manifold, endowed with the Kähler metric, is a cone over a Sasaki manifold. R. Gini, L. Ornea, M. Parton and P. Piccinni showed in [16] that this result is true for any presentation of a Vaisman manifold. Let us recall that a *presentation* of a locally conformally Kähler manifold is a pair (K, Γ) , where K is a homothetic Kähler manifold, *i.e.* the Kähler metric is defined up to homotheties, and Γ is a discrete group of biholomorphic homotheties acting freely and properly discontinuously on K . To any presentation, there is a group homomorphism, which associates to each homothety, its dilatation factor, $\rho_K: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $\gamma^*(g_K) = \rho_K(\gamma)g_K$, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where g_K is the Kähler metric (up to homothety) on K . The *maximal presentation* is the universal covering $(\widetilde{M}, \pi_1(M))$ of the lcK manifold M and the *minimal presentation* or *minimal covering* is given by

$$(\widehat{M} := \widetilde{M}/(\text{Isom}(\widetilde{M}, g_K) \cap \pi_1(M)), \Gamma_{\min} := \pi_1(M)/(\text{Isom}(\widetilde{M}, g_K) \cap \pi_1(M)).$$

Hence, each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\min} \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ acts as a proper homothety on $(\widehat{M}, g_K)$, *i.e.* γ is not an isometry: $\rho_{\widehat{M}}(\gamma) \neq 1$. We can now state the following consequence of [16, Theorem 4.2]:

Proposition 2.10. *The minimal (resp. universal) covering of a Vaisman manifold (M, g, J, θ) is biholomorphic and conformal to the Kähler cone of a Sasaki manifold and $\Gamma_{\min}$ (resp. $\pi_1(M)$) acts on the cone by biholomorphic homotheties with respect to the Kähler cone metric.*

Remark 2.11. On the minimal covering $\widehat{M}$ of an lcK manifold (M, g, J, θ) , the pull-back $\hat{\theta}$ of the Lee form is exact. This property is clearly true on the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$, since the pull-back of θ is still closed, hence exact: $\tilde{\theta} = df$, as $\widetilde{M}$ is simply-connected. The minimal covering is obtained from the universal covering by quotienting out the isometries (of the Kähler metric $e^{-f}\tilde{g}$ on $\widetilde{M}$) in $\pi_1(M)$. Therefore, the function f projects onto a function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\widehat{M})$, such that $\hat{\theta} = d\hat{f}$.

3. TWISTED HAMILTONIAN ACTIONS ON LcK MANIFOLDS

Let (M, g, J, θ) be an lcK manifold. We consider d^θ , the so-called *twisted differential*, defined by:

$$d^\theta: \Omega^*(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{*+1}(M), \quad d^\theta \alpha := d\alpha - \theta \wedge \alpha.$$

Remark that $d^\theta \circ d^\theta = 0$ if and only if $d\theta = 0$ and that in this case d^θ anti-commutes with d . By definition, on an lcK manifold, we have $d^\theta \omega = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}^\theta$ denote the *twisted Lie derivative* defined by $\mathcal{L}_X^\theta := d^\theta \circ \iota_X + \iota_X \circ d^\theta$. The following relation holds, for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$:

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L}_X^\theta \omega = \mathcal{L}_X \omega - \theta(X)\omega,$$

as follows from the following direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X\omega &= d\iota_X\omega + \iota_X d\omega = d\iota_X\omega + \iota_X(\theta \wedge \omega) \\ &= d\iota_X\omega + \theta(X)\omega - \theta \wedge \iota_X\omega = d^\theta(\iota_X\omega) + \theta(X)\omega \\ &= \mathcal{L}_X^\theta\omega + \theta(X)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. Given a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ on an lcK manifold (M, g, J, θ) , its *associated twisted Hamiltonian vector field* X_f is defined as the ω -dual of $d^\theta f = df - f\theta$, i. e. $\iota_{X_f}\omega = d^\theta f$. The subset $\text{Ham}^\theta(M) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of *twisted Hamiltonian vector fields* is that of vector fields on M admitting such a presentation.

We remark that the notion of twisted Hamiltonian vector field is invariant under conformal changes of the metric, even though the function associated to a twisted Hamiltonian vector field changes by the conformal factor. More precisely, if $g' = e^\alpha g$, then $\omega' = e^\alpha \omega$, $\theta' = \theta + d\alpha$ and the following relation holds $d^{\theta'} f = e^\alpha d^\theta(e^{-\alpha} f)$. Note that if M is not globally conformally Kähler, the map $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $f \mapsto X_f$ is injective.

Lemma 3.2. *Twisted Hamiltonian vector fields on an lcK manifold (M, g, J, θ) have the following properties:*

(i) $\forall X \in \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$ the following relations hold:

$$\mathcal{L}_X^\theta\omega = d^\theta\iota_X\omega = 0.$$

(ii) $\text{Ham}^\theta(M)$ is a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, such that $J\theta^\sharp \in \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$ and $\theta^\sharp \notin \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$.

(iii) Twisted Hamiltonian vector fields do not leave invariant the fundamental form ω , but conformally invariant, i.e. for all $X \in \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$:

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{L}_X\omega = \theta(X)\omega.$$

Proof. (i) follows directly by the Cartan formula.

(ii) This can be seen as follows:

$$(\iota_{J\theta^\sharp}\omega)(X) = \omega(J\theta^\sharp, X) = g(J\theta^\sharp, JX) = \theta(X),$$

hence $\iota_{J\theta^\sharp}\omega = \theta = d^\theta f$, where f is the constant function equal to -1 .

However, the Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ is not twisted Hamiltonian, since the 1-form $\iota_{\theta^\sharp}\omega$ is not even d^θ -closed:

$$d^\theta\iota_{\theta^\sharp}\omega = \mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp}^\theta\omega - \iota_{\theta^\sharp}d^\theta\omega = \mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp}\omega - \theta(\theta^\sharp)\omega = -\omega \neq 0$$

(iii) follows from (4). □

The *twisted Poisson bracket* on $C^\infty(M)$ is defined by:

$$(6) \quad \{f_1, f_2\} := \omega((d^\theta f_1)^\sharp, (d^\theta f_2)^\sharp) = \omega(X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}).$$

and it turns $C^\infty(M)$ into a Lie algebra. Hence, the following equality holds: $X_f = -J((d^\theta f)^\sharp)$.

Recall that for any action of a Lie group G on a manifold M , and for any X in the Lie algebra of G , it is naturally associated the so-called *fundamental vector field* X_M , defined as $X_M(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}(\exp(tX) \cdot x)$, for any $x \in M$, where $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is the exponential map of the group G and " $\cdot$ " denotes the action of G on M . We identify the elements of the Lie algebra with the induced fundamental vector fields, when there is no ambiguity.

Definition 3.3. Let (M, g, J, θ) be a locally conformally Kähler manifold with fundamental 2-form ω . The action of a Lie group G on M is called

- *weakly twisted Hamiltonian* if the associated fundamental vector fields are twisted Hamiltonian, *i. e.* there exists a linear map

$$\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

such that $\iota_X \omega = d^\theta \mu^X$, for all fundamental vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. This means that the twisted Hamiltonian vector field associated to $\mu^X := \mu(X)$ is exactly the vector field X . The condition here is that all fundamental vector fields are twisted Hamiltonian: $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$.

- *twisted Hamiltonian* if the map μ can be chosen to be a Lie algebra homomorphism with respect to the Poisson bracket defined in (6). In this case the Lie algebra homomorphism μ is called a *momentum map* for the action of G .

The map μ may equivalently be considered as the map:

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \langle \mu(x), X \rangle := \mu^X(x), \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, \forall x \in M.$$

The condition on $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ to be a homomorphism of Lie algebras is equivalent to $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ being equivariant with respect to the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the dual of the Lie algebra of G .

Remark 3.4. The property of an action to be twisted Hamiltonian is a property of the conformal structure, even though the Poisson structure on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is not conformally invariant. If $g' = e^\alpha g$, then $\mu^{\theta'} = e^\alpha \mu^\theta$.

We define toric lcK manifolds by analogy to other toric geometries, as follows:

Definition 3.5. A connected locally conformally Kähler manifold $(M, [g], J)$ of dimension $2n$ equipped with an effective holomorphic and twisted Hamiltonian action of the standard (real) n -dimensional torus T^n :

$$\tau : T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$$

is called a *toric locally conformally Kähler manifold*.

Remark 3.6. Let (M, g, J, θ) be a Vaisman manifold. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . We still denote by J the induced complex structure on $\widetilde{M}$. Since $\pi^* \theta$ is closed and $\widetilde{M}$ simply-connected, it follows that it is also exact, *i.e.* there exists $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ such that $\pi^* \theta = dh$. We define the following metric and associated fundamental form on $\widetilde{M}$, which build a Kähler structure:

$$\tilde{g} := e^{-h} \pi^* g, \quad \tilde{\omega} = e^{-h} \pi^* \omega.$$

This can be showed as follows:

$$d\tilde{\omega} = d(e^{-h} \pi^* \omega) = e^{-h} (-dh \wedge \pi^* \omega + \pi^* d\omega) = e^{-h} (-dh \wedge \pi^* \omega + \pi^* \theta \wedge \pi^* \omega) = 0.$$

A twisted Hamiltonian G -action on (M, g, J, ω) is equivalent to a Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}^0$ -action on the Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{g}, J, \tilde{\omega})$, where $\tilde{G}^0$ denotes the identity component of the universal covering of G . To check this it is sufficient to consider the infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We identify $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ with its associated fundamental vector field on M .

Then, the fundamental vector field associated to $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\widetilde{G})$ equals π^*X . If $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is the momentum map of the twisted Hamiltonian action of G on M , then the map

$$\widetilde{\mu} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{M}), \quad X \mapsto e^{-h}\pi^*\mu^X$$

is the momentum map of the Hamiltonian action of $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{M}$ with respect to the Kähler form $\widetilde{\omega}$. In fact, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $\iota_X\omega = d^\theta\mu^X$, by definition of a twisted Hamiltonian action. We now compute on $\widetilde{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\pi^*X}\widetilde{\omega} &= \iota_{\pi^*X}(e^{-h}\pi^*\omega) = e^{-h}\pi^*(\iota_X\omega) = e^{-h}\pi^*(d^\theta\mu^X) \\ &= e^{-h}\pi^*(d\mu^X - \mu^X\theta) = e^{-h}(d(\pi^*\mu^X) - \pi^*\mu^X \cdot dh) = d(e^{-h}\pi^*\mu^X). \end{aligned}$$

Since μ is a homomorphism of Poisson algebras, the same holds for $\widetilde{\mu}$.

4. TORIC VAISMAN MANIFOLDS

In this section (M, g, J, θ) denotes a Vaisman manifold.

Remark 4.1. Let X be a holomorphic Killing vector field on a Vaisman manifold (M, J, g, θ) . The 1-form $\iota_X\omega$ is d^θ -closed iff $\mathcal{L}_X^\theta\omega = 0$. Since by assumption $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = 0$, it follows from (4) that $d^\theta\iota_X\omega = 0$ iff $\theta(X) = 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Any twisted Hamiltonian holomorphic action of a Lie group G on a complete Vaisman manifold (M, g, J, θ) is automatically isometric with respect to the Vaisman metric and the following inclusion holds:*

$$\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{isom}(M) \cap \ker(\theta).$$

Proof. By assumption we have:

$$\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{hol}(M) \cap \text{Ham}^\theta(M).$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{Ham}^\theta(M)$. From (5), it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = \theta(X)\omega$. Since X is a holomorphic vector field, we have $\mathcal{L}_XJ = 0$. From the following relation between the Lie derivatives:

$$(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)(\cdot, \cdot) = (\mathcal{L}_Xg)(\cdot, J\cdot) + g(\cdot, (\mathcal{L}_XJ)\cdot), \quad \forall X \in TM,$$

it follows that $\mathcal{L}_Xg = \theta(X)g$. Hence, X is a conformal vector field.

In order to show that X is a Killing vector field, we consider the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ of M , which by Proposition 2.10, is biholomorphic conformal to the Kähler cone $(\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{W}), 4e^{-2t}(dt^2 + p^*g_{\widetilde{W}}))$ over a Sasaki manifold $(\widetilde{W}, g_{\widetilde{W}})$. The lift of X to $\widetilde{M}$, denoted by $\widetilde{X}$, is a conformal Killing vector field with respect to the pull-back metric $\widetilde{g} := \pi^*g$, where π denotes the natural projection from $\widetilde{M}$ to M . Moreover, we claim that $\widetilde{X}$ is a Killing vector field with respect to the Kähler cone metric g_K , as the following computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{X}}g_K &= \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{X}}(e^{-2t}\widetilde{g}) = \widetilde{X}(e^{-2t})\widetilde{g} + \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{X}}(e^{-2t}\widetilde{g}) = e^{-2t}(-2dt(\widetilde{X})\widetilde{g} + \pi^*(\mathcal{L}_Xg)) \\ &= e^{-2t}(-2dt(\widetilde{X}) + \pi^*(\theta(X)g)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\pi^*\theta = 2dt$.

Let us note, that by the theorem of Hopf-Rinow, the assumption on (M, g) to be complete implies that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is complete and further that also $(\widetilde{W}, g_{\widetilde{W}})$ is complete. Thus, applying Proposition 2.6, it follows that all Killing vector

fields of the cone metric on $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{W})$ are projectable onto Killing vector fields of the Sasaki metric $g_{\widetilde{W}}$ on $\widetilde{W}$. In particular, it follows that $\left[\widetilde{X}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right] = 0$.

This implies that $[X, \theta^\sharp] = 0$.

From $\mathcal{L}_X g = \theta(X)g$ and $[X, \theta^\sharp] = 0$, it follows:

$$\theta(X)\theta = \mathcal{L}_X \theta = d(\theta(X)),$$

where the last equality is obtained by Cartan formula. This identity applied to $\theta^\sharp$ yields $\theta^\sharp(\theta(X)) = \theta(X)$. On the other hand, we compute:

$$\theta^\sharp(\theta(X)) = (\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp} \theta)(X) + \theta([\theta^\sharp, X]) = 0,$$

since again by the Cartan formula, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp} \theta = d\iota_{\theta^\sharp} \theta = 0$. Therefore, we obtain $\theta(X) = 0$. Hence, X is a Killing vector field of g and is orthogonal to $\theta^\sharp$. $\square$

Remark 4.3. On a compact Vaisman manifold, A. Moroianu and L. Ornea [23] proved that every conformal Killing vector field is a Killing vector field with respect to the Vaisman metric. Recently, P. Gauduchon and A. Moroianu [14] proved the following more general result: on a connected compact oriented Riemannian manifold admitting a non-trivial parallel vector field, any conformal Killing vector field is Killing.

The next result shows that an action preserving the whole Vaisman structure is automatically twisted hamiltonian. Moreover, it shows that the momentum map is given by the anti-Lee 1-form. More precisely, we have:

Lemma 4.4. *Let (M, g, J, θ) be a Vaisman manifold and X be a holomorphic Killing vector field on M , which is in the kernel of θ . Then X is a twisted Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian function $f := J\theta(X)$, i.e. the following equality holds:*

$$\iota_X \omega = df - f\theta = d^\theta f.$$

Proof. We compute the differential of f as follows:

(7)

$$df(Y) = (\nabla_Y J)(\theta \wedge X) + g(J\theta, \nabla_Y X) = \frac{1}{2}(f\theta(Y) + \omega(X, Y)) - g(Y, \nabla_{J\theta} X)$$

since $\theta(X) = 0$, $|\theta| = 1$ and ∇X is skew-symmetric. Note that

$$-\nabla_{J\theta} X = \mathcal{L}_X J\theta - \nabla_X J\theta = -\nabla_X J\theta = \frac{1}{2}(f\theta + JX),$$

since X preserves θ and J , and θ is parallel. Substituting in (7), we obtain

$$df = \frac{1}{2}(f\theta + \iota_X \omega) - \nabla_{J\theta} X = f\theta + \iota_X \omega.$$

$\square$

We consider now toric Vaisman manifolds, as a special class of toric lcK manifolds, cf. Definition 3.5.

Remark 4.5. As in the case of Kähler toric manifolds, where a Hamiltonian holomorphic action automatically preserves the Kähler metric, also on Vaisman manifolds a twisted Hamiltonian holomorphic action preserves the Vaisman metric, see Lemma 4.2.

Remark 4.6. The maximal dimension of an effective twisted Hamiltonian torus action on a $2n$ -dimensional Vaisman manifold is n . The proof follows by the same argument as for Hamiltonian actions on symplectic manifolds (for details see *e.g.* [9]).

In particular, on a toric Vaisman manifold, by Lemma 4.2, the following inclusions hold:

$$\mathfrak{t}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{hol}(M) \cap \text{Ham}^\theta(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{isom}(M) \cap (\theta^\sharp)^\perp,$$

where $\mathfrak{t}_n$ denotes the Lie algebra of T^n . Moreover, we show the following:

Lemma 4.7. *On a toric Vaisman manifold (M^{2n}, g, J, θ) , the anti-Lee vector field is part of the twisted Hamiltonian torus action, i.e. $J\theta^\sharp \in \mathfrak{t}_n$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and assume that $J\theta^\sharp \notin \mathfrak{t}_n$. By Remark 4.6, n is the maximal dimension of a torus acting effectively and twisted Hamiltonian on a $2n$ -dimensional Vaisman manifold. Hence, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{t}_n \cup \{J\theta^\sharp\}$ is still an abelian Lie algebra acting twisted Hamiltonian on (M, g, J) . This is a consequence of Lemma 3.2, (ii), stating that $J\theta^\sharp \in \text{Ham}^\theta M$, and of Lemma 2.5, where it is shown that $J\theta^\sharp$ is in the center of $\mathfrak{aut}(M)$, so in particular commutes with all elements of $\mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{aut}(M)$. $\square$

Example 4.8. The standard example of a Vaisman manifold is $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$, endowed with the complex structure and metric induced by the diffeomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow S^1 \times S^{2n-1}, \quad [z] \longmapsto (e^{i \ln |z|}, \frac{z}{|z|}),$$

where $[z] = [z']$ if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z' = e^{2\pi k} z$. The Hermitian metric $\frac{dz_j \otimes d\bar{z}_j}{|z|^2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence it descends to a Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{Z}$, with

$$g := \text{Re} \frac{dz_j \otimes d\bar{z}_j}{|z|^2}, \quad \omega := i \frac{d\bar{z}_j \wedge dz_j}{|z|^2}, \quad \theta := d \ln |z|^{-2}$$

defining the lcK metric, 2-fundamental form and Lee form respectively. The Lee form θ is parallel, hence $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ is Vaisman. We define the following T^n action on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$: $t \longmapsto ([z] \mapsto [t_1 z_1, \dots, t_n z_n])$. It is easy to check that it is effective and holomorphic. A basis of fundamental vector fields of the action is given by $X_j([z]) := iz_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, we have

$$\iota_{X_j} \omega = \frac{\bar{z}_j dz_j + z_j d\bar{z}_j}{|z|^2} = d \left(\frac{|z_j|^2}{|z|^2} \right) - \frac{|z_j|^2}{|z|^2} \theta = d^\theta \left(\frac{|z_j|^2}{|z|^2} \right),$$

which shows that the action is also twisted Hamiltonian, with momentum map $\mu^{X_j}([z]) := \frac{|z_j|^2}{|z|^2}$. Hence, $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ is a toric Vaisman manifold.

We are now ready to state our result:

Theorem 4.9. *Let (M^{2n}, J, g, θ) be a complete Vaisman manifold and W^{2n-1} be its associated Sasaki manifold, such that the minimal covering $\widehat{M}$ of M is biholomorphic and conformal to the Kähler cone over W . If M is a toric Vaisman manifold, then W is a toric Sasaki manifold.*

Proof. Let $\pi: \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$ denote the projection of the minimal covering. $\widehat{M}$ is naturally endowed with the pullback metric $\hat{g} := \pi^*g$ and the complex structure $\hat{J}$, such that π is a local isometric biholomorphism. By Proposition 2.10, we know that $\widehat{M} = \mathcal{C}(W)$, where $(W, g_W, \xi, \eta, \Phi)$ is a complete Sasaki manifold and $\mathcal{C}(W) = \mathbb{R} \times W$ is its cone. By this identification, the lift of the 1-form θ , which is exact on $\widehat{M}$ *cf.* Remark 2.11, equals $2dt$, where t is the coordinate of the factor $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the relationship between the induced metric $\hat{g}$ and the Kähler cone metric $g_K := g_{\text{cone}} = 4e^{-2t}(dt^2 + p^*g_W)$ is the following: $\hat{g} = e^{2t}g_K = 4(dt^2 + p^*g_W)$. The complex structure $\hat{J}$ coincides with the usual complex structure induced by the Sasaki structure on the Kähler cone, *i.e.* $\hat{J}(p^*\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\hat{J}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = -p^*\xi$ and $\hat{J}$ coincides with the transverse complex structure Φ on the horizontal distribution $\xi^\perp$ in TW .

By assumption, the Vaisman manifold M^{2n} is toric, hence it is equipped with an effective twisted Hamiltonian action $\tau: T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$.

By Lemma 4.7, $J\theta^\sharp \in \mathfrak{t}_n$, hence we may choose a basis $X_1, \dots, X_n$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, such that the fundamental vector field on M associated to X_1 is $-2J\theta^\sharp$. For simplicity, we still denote the induced fundamental vector fields of the action by $X_j \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. From Remark 2.2 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $[X_j, \theta^\sharp] = 0$, for all j . Moreover, Lemma 4.2 implies that $g(X_j, \theta^\sharp) = 0$, for all j .

Since π is a local diffeomorphism, we can lift each vector field X_j uniquely to a vector field $\widehat{X}_j$ on $\widehat{M}$. As X_j commute pairwise, the same is true for their lifts, *i.e.* we have $[\widehat{X}_j, \widehat{X}_k] = 0$, for all $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Note that the lift of $\theta^\sharp$ to $\widehat{M}$ equals $(\pi^*\theta)^\sharp, \hat{g}$, *i.e.* the dual of $\pi^*\theta = 2dt$ with respect to the metric $\hat{g}$. Thus, $\widehat{\theta}^\sharp = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Hence, $\widehat{X}_1$, which is the lift to the universal covering of $-2J\theta^\sharp$, equals $\widehat{X}_1 = -2\hat{J}((\pi^*\theta)^\sharp, \hat{g}) = -2\hat{J}(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = p^*\xi$. It is then clear, that $\widehat{X}_1$ projects through p on W to ξ . In fact, each lift $\widehat{X}_j$ is projectable onto vector fields on W , since they are constant along $\mathbb{R}$: $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \widehat{X}_j] = 2[\widehat{\theta}^\sharp, \widehat{X}_j] = 2[\widehat{\theta}^\sharp, \widehat{X}_j] = 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. We denote their projections by $Y_j := p_*\widehat{X}_j \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, Y_1 equals the Reeb vector field ξ . We remark that the vector fields $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ commute pairwise and are complete. Thus, they give rise to an effective action $\tau_W: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$.

We show next that this action acts by automorphisms of the Sasaki structure, *i.e.* the vector fields $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ preserve (g_W, ξ, η, Φ) . More precisely, it is sufficient to show that they are Killing vector fields, since they commute with $Y_1 = \xi$. The torus action τ on the Vaisman manifold is by isometries, *cf.* Lemma 4.2, so each vector field X_j is Killing with respect to the Vaisman metric g . The projection π being a local isometry between $(\widehat{M}, \hat{g})$ and (M, g) , it follows that each lift $\widehat{X}_j$ is a Killing vector field with respect to the metric $\hat{g} = 4(dt^2 + p^*g_W)$, hence their projections onto (W, g_W) are still Killing vector fields with respect to the Sasaki metric g_W .

In order to conclude that W is a toric Sasaki manifold, we need to argue why the action τ_W of $\mathbb{R}^n$ on W naturally induces an action of the torus T^n

on W . For this, it is enough to show that for each $X \in \mathfrak{t}_n$ with the associated fundamental vector on M having closed orbits of the same period, also its lift $\widehat{X}$ has the same property. Let Ψ_s and $\widehat{\Psi}_s$ denote the flow of X , resp. of $\widehat{X}$. We assume that all the orbits of X close after time $s = 1$, *i.e.* $\Psi_1(x) = x$, for all $x \in M$. Then, since $\pi \circ \widehat{\Psi}_s = \Psi_s \circ \pi$, for all values of s , it follows that for each $(t, w) \in \widehat{M}$, we have $\pi((t, w)) = \pi(\Psi_1(t, w))$, so there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\min}$, such that $\Psi_1(t, w) = \gamma \cdot (t, w)$. A priori γ may depend on the choice of (t, w) , but since the function defined in this way would be continuous with values in the discrete group $\Gamma_{\min}$, it must be constant. On the other hand, $\widehat{X}$ is a Killing vector field with respect to the Kähler metric $g_K = e^{-2t}\widehat{g}$ (since, as shown above, $\widehat{X}$ is Killing with respect to the pull-back $\widehat{g}$ of the Vaisman metric and it also leaves invariant the conformal factor, as $\theta(X) = 0$ implies $dt(\widehat{X}) = 0$). Applying Proposition 2.6, we have $\Psi_1(t, w) = (t, \psi(w))$, where ψ is an isometry of W . Hence, for all $(t, w) \in \widehat{M}$, we have: $\gamma \cdot (t, w) = (t, \psi(w))$, in particular γ acts as an isometry of the Kähler metric. As $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\min}$, it follows from the definition of $\Gamma_{\min}$ that $\gamma = \text{id}$. We conclude that all orbits of $\widehat{X}$ are also closed with the same period. $\square$

Remark 4.10. The argument in the proof of Theorem 4.9 also applies to the universal covering of a toric complete Vaisman manifold, showing that it carries an effective holomorphic Hamiltonian action of $\mathbb{R}^n$, *i.e.* a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, with respect to its Kähler structure.

Conversely, we show:

Theorem 4.11. *Let $(W^{2n-1}, g_W, \xi, \eta, \Phi)$ be a toric complete Sasaki manifold with the effective torus action $\tau: T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$. Let Γ be a discrete group of biholomorphic homotheties acting freely and properly discontinuously on the Kähler cone $(\mathcal{C}(W), 4e^{-2t}(dt^2 + p^*g_W))$ and hence inducing a Vaisman structure on the quotient $M := \mathcal{C}(W)/\Gamma$. If the action τ , which is extended to $\mathcal{C}(W)$ acting trivially on $\mathbb{R}$, commutes with Γ , then M is a toric Vaisman manifold.*

Proof. Let π denote the projection corresponding to the action of the group Γ , $\pi: \mathcal{C}(W) \rightarrow M = \mathcal{C}(W)/\Gamma$. We still denote by τ the extension of the T^n -action on $\mathbb{R} \times W$, obtained by letting T^n act trivially on $\mathbb{R}$. The assumption on this action to commute with the group Γ , ensures that it naturally projects onto a T^n -action on the quotient M , that we denote by $\bar{\tau}: T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$. In order to show that M is a toric Vaisman manifold, we need to check that this action is effective, holomorphic and twisted Hamiltonian with respect to the induced Vaisman structure on M^{2n} , that we denote by (g, J, θ) . For this, we study the induced fundamental vector fields of the action.

We recall that through π , the exact form $2dt$ projects to the Lee form θ of the induced Vaisman structure on the quotient M , the vector field $\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ projects to the Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ and hence, $p^*\xi = -J(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ projects to $-2J\theta^\sharp$. We also know that the metric which projects onto the Vaisman metric g is the product metric $4(dt^2 + g_W)$.

By assumption, the action $\tau: T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$ preserves the Sasaki structure and has the property that $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_n$. We choose a basis $X_1, \dots, X_n$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, such that the fundamental vector field on M associated to X_1 is $-\frac{1}{2}\xi$. We still denote the induced fundamental vector fields of the action by $\bar{X}_j \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We consider the pull-back of these vector fields on $\mathcal{C}(W) = \mathbb{R} \times W$ through the projection $p: \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow W$, $Y_j := p^*X_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence, $Y_1 = -p^*(\frac{1}{2}\xi)$ projects through π to $J\theta^\sharp$, which is a Killing vector field with respect to the Vaisman metric g . We claim that this property is true for all vector fields Y_j . First we notice that each Y_j is projectable through π , because of the hypothesis on the action of T^n on $\mathbb{R} \times W$ to commute with Γ . We denote the projected vector fields by $\bar{Y}_j := \pi_*Y_j$. In fact, by construction, the vector fields $\{\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_n\}$ are exactly the fundamental vector fields of the action $\bar{\tau}$ corresponding to the fixed basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{t}_n$. As each X_j is a Killing vector field with respect to the Sasaki metric g_W , it follows that $Y_j = p^*X_j$ is a Killing vector field with respect to the product metric $4(dt^2 + g_W)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times W$. Since π is a local isometry between $(\mathbb{R} \times W, 4(dt^2 + g_W))$ and (M, g) , the vector fields $\bar{Y}_j$ are still Killing with respect to g .

The same argument works in order to show that $\bar{Y}_j$ is a holomorphic vector field on the Vaisman manifold (M, g, J, θ) . Namely, we use that π is a local biholomorphism and that $Y_j = p^*X_j$ is a holomorphic vector field with respect to the induced holomorphic structure J_K on the cone $\mathcal{C}(W)$. The last statement follows from the following straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{Y_j} J_K)(p^*\xi) &= \left[Y_j, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] - J_K(p^*([X_j, \xi])) = 0, \\ (\mathcal{L}_{Y_j} J_K) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) &= -[Y_j, p^*\xi] - J_K \left(\left[Y_j, p^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \right) = 0, \\ (\mathcal{L}_{Y_j} J_K)(p^*X) &= [Y_j, p^*(\Phi(X))] - J_K([Y_j, p^*X]) \\ &= p^*([X_j, X] - \Phi[X_j, X]) = p^*((\mathcal{L}_{X_j} \Phi)(X)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where X is any vector field of the contact distribution of W , *i.e.* X is orthogonal to ξ .

The Kähler form of the Kähler cone is on the one hand given by: $\omega_K = e^{-2t}\pi^*\omega$, where ω is the fundamental 2-form of the Vaisman structure: $\omega = g(\cdot, J\cdot)$, and on the other hand, it is exact and equals $2d(e^{-2t}p^*\eta)$, where η is the 1-form of the Sasaki structure on W . We recall that any action preserving the Sasaki structure is automatically hamiltonian with momentum map obtained by pairing with the opposite of the 1-form η , *i.e.* for any Killing vector field X commuting with ξ , we have: $\iota_X d\eta = -d(\eta(X))$. The induced action on the Kähler cone is also hamiltonian. More precisely, the following equation holds, for X as above:

$$\iota_{(p^*X)}\omega_K = 2\iota_{(p^*X)}d(e^{-2t}p^*\eta) = -2d(e^{-2t}\eta(X) \circ p),$$

since $\mathcal{L}_X(e^{-2t}\eta) = 0$. We compute for each $1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \pi^*(\iota_{\bar{Y}_j}\omega) &= \iota_{Y_j}(\pi^*\omega) = \iota_{Y_j}(e^{2t}\omega_K) = e^{2t}d(e^{-2t}\eta(X_j) \circ p) \\ &= -2(\eta(X_j) \circ p)dt + d(\eta(X_j) \circ p). \end{aligned}$$

We now notice that the function $\eta(X_j) \circ p$ is invariant under the action of the group Γ . This is due, on the one hand, to the fact that X_j is a fundamental vector field associated to the torus action, which by assumption commutes with Γ . On the other hand, η equals the projection on W of $J_K(dt)$ and Γ acts by biholomorphisms with respect to J_K and by homotheties with respect to the cone metric, hence it automatically commutes with the radial flow ϕ_s , *cf.* Proposition 2.6. We denote the projection of the function $\pi_*(\eta(X_j) \circ p)$ on M by $\mu(X_j) = -\frac{1}{2}J\theta(\overline{Y}_j)$. Hence, the right hand side of (8) is projectable through π and we obtain on M :

$$\iota_{\overline{Y}_j}\omega = -\mu(X_j)\theta + d(\mu(X_j)) = d^\theta(\mu(X_j)),$$

showing that the torus action $\bar{\tau}$ defined above is twisted Hamiltonian with momentum map defined by $\mu: \mathfrak{t}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $\mu(X) = -\frac{1}{2}J\theta(X_M)$, where X_M is the fundamental vector field associated to X through the action $\bar{\tau}$. $\square$

5. TORIC COMPACT REGULAR VAISMAN MANIFOLDS

We consider in this section the special case of a strongly compact regular Vaisman manifold and show that it is toric if and only if the Kähler quotient is toric.

Recall that a Vaisman manifold (M, g, J, θ) is called *regular* if the 1-dimensional distribution spanned by the Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ is regular, meaning that $\theta^\sharp$ gives rise to an S^1 -action on M , and it is called *strongly regular* if both the Lee and anti-Lee vector field give rise to an S^1 -action.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be a strongly regular compact Vaisman manifold and denote by $\overline{M} := M/\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$ the quotient manifold with the induced structures $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{J}$. Then M is a toric Vaisman manifold if and only if $\overline{M}$ is a toric Kähler manifold.*

Proof. Let (M, g, J) be a strictly regular compact Vaisman manifold with Lee vector field $\theta^\sharp$ and let $\pi: M \rightarrow \overline{M}$ denote the projection onto the quotient manifold. By definition, each of the vector fields $\theta^\sharp$ and $J\theta^\sharp$ gives rise to a circle action, which by Lemma 2.5 are both holomorphic and isometric. In this case, the metric g and the complex structure J descends through π to the quotient manifold $\overline{M}$ and it is a well-known result that $(\overline{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ is a compact Hodge manifold and π is a Riemannian submersion. Moreover, the curvature form of the connection 1-form $\theta - iJ\theta$ of the principal bundle $\pi: M \rightarrow \overline{M}$ projects onto the Kähler form $\bar{\omega}$ of $\overline{M}$. For details on these results see *e.g.* [26] or [11, Theorem 6.3].

Let us first assume that (M^{2n}, g, J) is a compact toric Vaisman manifold, *i.e.* there is an effective twisted Hamiltonian holomorphic action $\tau: T^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ with momentum map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We show that there is a naturally induced effective Hamiltonian action on the compact Kähler quotient, $\bar{\tau}: T^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$, where T^{n-1} is the torus obtained from T^n by quotienting out the direction corresponding to the circle action of $J\theta^\sharp$, which by Lemma 4.7 lies in the torus. Hence, by the definition of T^{n-1} , we have the following relation between the Lie algebras of the corresponding tori: $\mathfrak{t}_n = \mathfrak{t}_{n-1} \oplus \langle J\theta^\sharp \rangle$. Since $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$ is included in the center

of $\text{aut}(M)$, cf. Lemma 2.5, it follows that the action of T^n on M naturally descends through π to an action on the quotient $\overline{M} = M/\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$. By Remark 4.5, the action of T^n on (M, g, J) is both holomorphic and isometric. Thus, the action induced by T^n on $(\overline{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ is also isometric and holomorphic. This can be checked as follows. By the definition of the induced action, the fundamental vector fields X_M and $X_{\overline{M}}$, associated to the action of any $X \in \mathfrak{t}_n$ on M , respectively on $\overline{M}$, are related by $\pi_*(X_M) = X_{\overline{M}}$. Since the Lie derivative commutes with the pull-back, we have $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\overline{M}}}\bar{g} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_*(X_M)}\pi_*g = \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{X_M}g) = 0$ and similarly we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\overline{M}}}\bar{J} = 0$. This action of T^n on $\overline{M}$ is not effective, since it is trivial in the direction of the anti-Lee vector field $J\theta^\sharp \in \mathfrak{t}_n$. However, as $\theta^\sharp \notin \mathfrak{t}_n$ by Lemma 4.2, it follows that the restriction of this action to the above defined "complementary" torus T^{n-1} of $J\theta^\sharp$ in T^n is effective. We denote it by $\bar{\tau}: T^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ and we only need to check that it is a Hamiltonian action on the symplectic manifold $(\overline{M}, \bar{\omega})$. For this, we consider the map $p \circ \mu: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ denotes the projection from $\mathfrak{t}_n$ to $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$, corresponding to quotienting out $\langle J\theta^\sharp \rangle$, through the identification of the ideals of the Lie algebras of T^n and T^{n-1} with $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. It follows that for any $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$, the function $(p \circ \mu)(X): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the action of $\theta^\sharp$ and of $J\theta^\sharp$, hence it is projectable through π onto a function on $\overline{M}$, which we denote $\bar{\mu}^X$. It turns out that $\bar{\mu}$ is the momentum map of the action $\bar{\tau}$. In order to show this, let $\bar{x} \in \overline{M}$, $\bar{Y} \in T_{\bar{x}}\overline{M}$, choose $x \in M$ with $\pi(x) = \bar{x}$ and let $Y := (d_x\pi|_{\langle \theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp \rangle^\perp})^{-1}(\bar{Y})$. We compute at the point $\bar{x}$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$: $(\iota_{X_{\overline{M}}}\bar{\omega})(\bar{Y}) = (\pi_*\omega)(\pi_*X_M, \pi_*Y)$ and on the other hand:

$$d_{\bar{x}}\bar{\mu}^X(\bar{Y}) = d_x\mu^X(Y) = d_x^\theta\mu^X(Y) = (\iota_{X_M}\omega)_x(Y) = \omega_x(X_M, Y),$$

thus proving that $\iota_{X_{\overline{M}}}\bar{\omega} = d\bar{\mu}^X$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$. Note that by definition $\bar{\mu}$ inherits from μ the property of being a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ endowed with the trivial Lie bracket to $C^\infty(\overline{M})$ endowed with the Poisson bracket. Concluding, we have shown that the induced action $\bar{\tau}: T^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ is an effective holomorphic Hamiltonian action on $\overline{M}$, so $(\overline{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ is a compact Kähler toric manifold.

Conversely, let us assume that (M^{2n}, g, J) is a strictly regular compact Vaisman manifold, such that the Kähler quotient $(\overline{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ is a toric Kähler manifold. We show that (M, g, J) is then a toric Vaisman manifold. By assumption, $\overline{M}^{2n-2}$ is equipped with an effective Hamiltonian holomorphic action of T^{n-1} , which we denote by $\bar{\tau}: T^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$. We also denote by $\bar{\mu}: \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ one of the momentum maps of this action, which is unique up to an additive constant. Let $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $f_j := \mu^{X_j}$ the corresponding Hamiltonian functions on $\overline{M}$, i.e. $\iota_{X_j}\bar{\omega} = df_j$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where for simplicity we denote the fundamental vector fields of the action on $\overline{M}$ by $\overline{X}_j = X_j^{\overline{M}} \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$. We now consider the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ defined for each $x \in M$ by $\mathcal{D}_x := \{X \in T_x M \mid X \perp \theta_x^\sharp, X \perp J\theta_x^\sharp\}$ and the corresponding horizontal pull-back Y_j of each vector field X_j through π , i.e. for each $x \in M$, $(Y_j)_x := ((d_x\pi)|_{\mathcal{D}_x})^{-1}((\overline{X}_j)_{\pi(x)})$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Each vector field Y_j is complete

and thus gives rise to an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on M . In the sequel, we modify them in the direction of $J\theta^\sharp$ in order to obtain the lifted torus action on M .

Set $Z_j := Y_j - (f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp$, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Step 1. We first show that each of these vector fields commutes with $\theta^\sharp$ and with $J\theta^\sharp$ and preserves the distribution $\mathcal{D}$, since it leaves invariant both 1-forms θ and $J\theta$. Namely, we compute for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$:

$$(9) \quad [Z_j, \theta^\sharp] = [Y_j - f_j \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp, \theta^\sharp] = 0, \quad [Z_j, J\theta^\sharp] = [Y_j - f_j \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp] = 0,$$

where we use that all functions $f_j \circ \pi$ and all horizontal lifts Y_j are by definition constant along the flows of $\theta^\sharp$ and of $J\theta^\sharp$. We further obtain by applying the Cartan formula:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z_j}\theta = \iota_{Z_j}d\theta + d(\iota_{Z_j}\theta) = 0,$$

since θ is closed and Z_j is by definition in the kernel of θ . Since the curvature of the connection 1-form of the principal bundle $\pi: M \rightarrow \overline{M}$ projects onto the Kähler form $\overline{\omega}$, we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z_j}(J\theta) = \iota_{Z_j}d(J\theta) + d(\iota_{Z_j}(J\theta)) = \iota_{Z_j}\pi^*\overline{\omega} - d(f_j \circ \pi) = \pi^*(\iota_{\overline{X}_j}\overline{\omega}) - \pi^*(df_j) = 0.$$

Indeed, in order to obtain this last equality it was necessary to perturb the horizontal lifts Y_j in the direction of $J\theta^\sharp$. We claim that the set $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}\}$ gives rise to an effective action of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ on M . For this, it is sufficient to check that all their Lie brackets vanish, so they are commuting vector fields. The property of being an effective action is a consequence of the effectiveness of the action of T^{n-1} on $\overline{M}$. For each $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we compute:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} [Z_j, Z_k] &= [Y_j - f_j \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp, Y_k - f_k \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp] \\ &= [Y_j, Y_k] - Y_j(f_k \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp + Y_k(f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp \\ &= [\overline{X}_j, \overline{X}_k]^* - (\overline{\omega}(\overline{X}_j, \overline{X}_k) - df_j(\overline{X}_k) + df_k(\overline{X}_j)) \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp \\ &= [\overline{X}_j, \overline{X}_k]^* - \overline{\omega}(\overline{X}_k, \overline{X}_j) \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where by $*$ is denoted the horizontal lift to the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ of a vector field on $\overline{M}$. For the above equalities we used again that $J\theta^\sharp(f_j \circ \pi) = 0$ and $[Y_j, J\theta^\sharp] = 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, as well as the fact that the curvature of the connection 1-form of the principal bundle equals $\pi^*\overline{\omega}$. Note that the last equality in (10) follows from the commutation of the vector fields $\overline{X}_j$ induced by the torus action and from the property of the momentum map $\overline{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$ of being a Lie algebra homomorphism, where $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M})$ is endowed with the Poisson bracket. Hence, for any j, k , the following relations hold: $0 = \overline{\mu}([X_j, X_k]) = \{\overline{\mu}^{X_j}, \overline{\mu}^{X_k}\} = \overline{\omega}(\overline{X}_j, \overline{X}_k)$.

Step 2. We now check that the above defined action of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ on M is holomorphic, isometric and twisted Hamiltonian. For each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, Z_j is a holomorphic vector field by the following computation, which uses the commutation relations from (9):

$$(\mathcal{L}_{Z_j}J)(\theta^\sharp) = [Z_j, J\theta^\sharp] - J[Z_j, \theta^\sharp] = 0, \quad (\mathcal{L}_{Z_j}J)(J\theta^\sharp) = -[Z_j, \theta^\sharp] - J[Z_j, J\theta^\sharp] = 0,$$

and since for each projectable horizontal vector field $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ with $\pi_* Y = \bar{Y}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}_{Z_j} J)(Y) &= [Y_j - (f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp, JY] - J[Y_j - (f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp, Y] \\
&= [Y_j, JY] - (f_j \circ \pi)[J\theta^\sharp, JY] + JY(f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp \\
&\quad - J[Y_j, Y] + (f_j \circ \pi)J[J\theta^\sharp, Y] + Y(f_j \circ \pi)\theta^\sharp \\
&= [\bar{X}_j, \bar{J}\bar{Y}]^* - \bar{\omega}(\bar{X}_j, \bar{J}\bar{Y}) \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp + df_j(\bar{J}\bar{Y}) \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp \\
&\quad - J([\bar{X}_j, \bar{Y}]^* - \bar{\omega}(\bar{X}_j, \bar{Y}) \circ \pi \cdot J\theta^\sharp) + df_j(\bar{Y}) \circ \pi \cdot \theta^\sharp \\
&= ([\bar{X}_j, \bar{J}\bar{Y}] - \bar{J}[\bar{X}_j, \bar{Y}])^* = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use that by definition $\bar{J}$ is the projection of J , so $JY = (\bar{J}\bar{Y})^*$, as well as the equalities $[J\theta^\sharp, JY] = J[J\theta^\sharp, Y]$, following from the fact that $J\theta^\sharp$ is a holomorphic vector field, cf. Lemma 2.5. A similar computation yields that $\mathcal{L}_{Z_j} g = 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, showing that each Z_j is a Killing vector field on M with respect to g . We further compute for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_{Z_j} \omega &= \iota_{Y_j} \omega - (f_j \circ \pi) \iota_{J\theta^\sharp} \omega = \pi^*(\iota_{\bar{X}_j} \bar{\omega}) - (f_j \circ \pi) \theta \\
&= d(f_j \circ \pi) - (f_j \circ \pi) \theta = d^\theta(f_j \circ \pi),
\end{aligned}$$

by taking into account that $\omega = \pi^* \bar{\omega} - \theta \wedge J\theta$. This proves that each vector field Z_j is twisted Hamiltonian with respect to ω with Hamiltonian function $f_j \circ \pi$. Altogether, we have shown that the action of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by the vector fields $Z_1, \dots, Z_j$ respects the whole Vaisman structure (g, J, ω) and is twisted Hamiltonian with momentum map $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, defined by $\mu^{X_j} := \bar{\mu}^{X_j} \circ \pi$, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. We also remark that μ is a Lie algebra homomorphism for $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by (6), as $\bar{\mu}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and the Poisson brackets are compatible through π . More precisely, we have for all $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mu^{X_j}, \mu^{X_k}\} &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \omega(Z_j, Z_k) = \omega(Y_j - (f_j \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp, Y_k - (f_k \circ \pi)J\theta^\sharp) = \\
&= \omega(Y_j, Y_k) - (f_j \circ \pi)\omega(J\theta^\sharp, Y_k) + (f_k \circ \pi)\omega(J\theta^\sharp, Y_j) \\
&= \bar{\omega}(\bar{X}_j, \bar{X}_k) \circ \pi - (f_j \circ \pi)\theta(Y_k) + (f_k \circ \pi)\theta(Y_j) \\
&= \{\bar{\mu}^{X_j}, \bar{\mu}^{X_k}\} \circ \pi = \bar{\mu}^{[X_j, X_k]} \circ \pi = \mu^{[X_j, X_k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Next we show that the freedom in choosing the momentum map $\bar{\mu}$ of $\bar{M}$ up to an additive constant in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ allows us to make the above defined action of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ on M into an action of $T^{n-1} = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$ on M , thus lifting the action of T^{n-1} on $\bar{M}$. It is enough to establish this result for one direction and then apply it independently for each of the directions X_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. The argument can be found in [13], Section 7.5., in the more general setting of lifting S^1 -actions to Hermitian complex line bundles equipped with a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear connection, whose curvature form is preserved by the circle action and in which case the property of being Hamiltonian is with respect to the closed curvature 2-form, which is not assumed to be symplectic. For the convenience of the reader we sketch here this argument using the above notation. Let X be a vector field on $\bar{M}$ which generates an S^1 -action, whose orbits are assumed to have period 1. One considers the flow Φ_s of the horizontal lift of X to $M/\{\theta^\sharp\}$, which then satisfies:

$\Phi_1(x) = \zeta(\bar{x}) \cdot x$, for all $x \in M/\{\theta^\sharp\}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the projection of x on $\overline{M}$ and $\zeta: \overline{M} \rightarrow S^1$. The main step is to show that ζ is constant. This follows from the following formula $\Phi_1 \cdot V = V - i \frac{d\zeta(\overline{V})}{\zeta} J\theta^\sharp$, for all $\overline{V} \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$ and V its horizontal lift to $M/\{\theta^\sharp\}$, and from the fact that Φ_s preserves the horizontal distribution for all values of s . An S^1 -action on $M/\{\theta^\sharp\}$ lifts to an S^1 -action on M , since θ is closed, so its kernel defines an integrable distribution on M . Applied to our case, this result yields that for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, the Hamiltonian function $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$ may be chosen (by adding an appropriate real constant, determined by ζ , which is well-defined up to an additive integer), such that the vector field $Z_j = Y_j - (f_j \circ \pi) \cdot J\theta^\sharp$ is the generator of an S^1 -action on M , where Y_j denotes the horizontal lift of $\overline{X}_j$.

Since $J\theta^\sharp$ is a Killing, holomorphic, twisted Hamiltonian vector field lying in the center of $\mathfrak{aut}(M)$, cf. Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, it follows that the circle action induced by $J\theta^\sharp$ commutes with the circle actions induced by the above defined action of T^{n-1} on M , thus giving rise together to an effective holomorphic twisted Hamiltonian action of T^n on (M^{2n}, g, J) , showing that this is a toric Vaisman manifold. $\square$

Applying this result to the Vaisman manifold $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ as described in Example 4.8, which is strongly regular, as $\theta^\sharp$ is the tangent vector to the S^1 factor and $J\theta^\sharp$ is tangent to the Hopf action on S^{2n-1} . This action commutes with the torus action defined in Example 4.8. It follows that this T^n -action descends to the quotient $(S^1 \times S^{2n-1})/\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^n$.

Remark 5.2. If (M^{2n}, g, J) is a regular compact Vaisman manifold, then the proof of Theorem 5.1 also shows that the following equivalence holds: M is a toric Vaisman manifold if and only if $M/\{\theta^\sharp\}$ is a toric Sasaki manifold. Again, this statement is only about the toric structure, since it is well-known that the quotient manifold is Sasaki (see e.g. [26] or [11]).

We note that the proofs of the theorems of the last two sections are both constructive, showing that the torus actions on the Sasaki (respectively Kähler) manifold and on the Vaisman manifold naturally induce one another.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abreu, *Kähler geometry of toric varieties and extremal metrics*, Internat. J. Math. **9** (1998), no. 6, 641–651.
2. ———, *Kähler metrics on toric orbifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **58** (2001), no. 1, 151–187.
3. ———, *Kähler-Sasaki geometry of toric symplectic cones in action-angle coordinates*, Port. Math. **67** (2010), no. 2, 121–153.
4. V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, and P. Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. I. General theory*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), no. 3, 359–412.
5. F. Belgun and A. Moroianu, *On the irreducibility of locally metric connections*, J. reine angew. Math. (2016).
6. R. Bielawski and A.S. Dancer, *The geometry and topology of toric hyperkähler manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **8** (2000), no. 4, 727–760.
7. C. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, no. xii+613, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
8. D.M. J. Calderbank, L. David, and P. Gauduchon, *The Guillemin formula and Kähler metrics on toric symplectic manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **1** (2003), no. 4, 767–784.

9. A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1764, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
10. T. Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), no. 3, 315–339.
11. S. Dragomir and L. Ornea, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 155, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
12. A. Futaki, H. Ono, and G. Wang, *Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 3, 585–635.
13. P. Gauduchon, *Calabi's extremal Kähler metrics: An elementary introduction*, Lecture Notes, unpublished.
14. P. Gauduchon and A. Moroianu, *Weyl-Einstein structures on K-contact manifolds*, arXiv: 1601.00892.
15. R. Gini, L. Ornea, and M. Parton, *Locally conformal Kähler reduction*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **581** (2005), 1–21.
16. R. Gini, L. Ornea, M. Parton, and P. Piccinni, *Reduction of Vaisman structures in complex and quaternionic geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), no. 12, 2501–2522.
17. V. Guillemin, *Kähler structures on toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), no. 2, 285–309.
18. S. Haller and T. Rybicki, *Reduction for locally conformal symplectic manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **37** (2001), no. 3, 262–271.
19. Y. Karshon and E. Lerman, *Non-compact symplectic toric manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **11** (2015), Paper 055, 37.
20. E. Lerman, *Contact toric manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **1** (2003), 785–828.
21. E. Lerman and S. Tolman, *Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds and toric varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 10, 4201–4230.
22. D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and S.-T. Yau, *The geometric dual of α -maximisation for toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **268** (2006), no. 1, 39–65.
23. A. Moroianu and L. Ornea, *Transformations of locally conformally Kähler manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **130** (2009), no. 1, 93–100.
24. A. Otman, *Locally conformally symplectic bundles*, preprint (2015), arXiv:1510.02770.
25. I. Vaisman, *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. (6) **12** (1979), no. 2, 263–284.
26. ———, *Generalized Hopf manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **13** (1982), no. 3, 231–255.
27. ———, *Locally conformal symplectic manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **8** (1985), no. 3, 521–536.
28. C. van Coevering, *Some examples of toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, Riemannian topology and geometric structures on manifolds, Progr. Math., vol. 271, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 185–232.

MIHAELA PILCA, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, UNIVERSITÄTSSTR. 31 D-93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY and INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS “SIMION STOILOW” OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21, CALEA GRIVITEI STR. 010702-BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: mihaela.pilca@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de